



UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE

Petition to Renew a Current Exemption Under 17 U.S.C. § 1201

9th Triennial Rulemaking

Please submit a separate petition for each current exemption for which renewal is sought.

NOTE: Use this form if you want to renew a current exemption without modification. If you are seeking to engage in activities not currently permitted by an existing exemption, including those that would require the expansion of a current exemption, you must submit a petition for a new exemption using the form available at copyright.gov/1201/2024/new-petition.pdf.

If you are seeking to expand a current exemption, we recommend that you submit both a petition to renew the current exemption without modification using this form, and, separately, a petition for a new exemption that identifies the current exemption and addresses only those issues relevant to the proposed expansion of that exemption.

ITEM A. PETITIONERS AND CONTACT INFORMATION

Please identify the petitioners and provide a means to contact the petitioners and/or their representatives, if any. The “petitioner” is the individual or entity seeking renewal.

Software Preservation Network

represented by:

Kendra Albert (submitter of record)
Harvard Law School Cyberlaw Clinic
4th Floor, 1557 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA, 02138
kalbert@law.harvard.edu

Library Copyright Alliance

represented by:

Jonathan Band
policybandwidth
21 Dupont Circle NW, Suite 800
Washington D.C. 20036
jband@policybandwidth.com

Privacy Act Advisory Statement: Required by the Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)

The authority for requesting this information is 17 U.S.C. §§ 1201(a)(1) and 705. Furnishing the requested information is voluntary. The principal use of the requested information is publication on the Copyright Office website and use by Copyright Office staff for purposes of the rulemaking proceeding conducted pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1201(a)(1). NOTE: No other advisory statement will be given in connection with this application. Please keep this statement and refer to it if we communicate with you regarding this petition.

ITEM B. IDENTIFY WHICH CURRENT EXEMPTION PETITIONERS SEEK TO RENEW

Check the appropriate box below that corresponds with the current temporary exemption (see **37 C.F.R. § 201.40**) the petitioners seek to renew. Please check only one box. If renewal of more than one exemption is sought, a separate petition must be submitted for each one.

Motion Pictures (including television programs and videos):

- Excerpts for use in documentary filmmaking or other films where use is in parody or for a biographical or historically significant nature
- Excerpts for use in noncommercial videos
- Excerpts for use in nonfiction multimedia e-books
- Excerpts for educational purposes by college and university faculty, students, or employees acting at the direction of faculty, or K–12 educators and students
- Excerpts for educational purposes by faculty and employees acting at the direction of faculty in massive open online courses (“MOOCs”)
- Excerpts for educational purposes in digital and literacy programs offered by libraries, museums, and other nonprofits
- For the provision of captioning and/or audio description by disability services offices or similar units at educational institutions for students, faculty, or staff with disabilities
- For the preservation or the creation of a replacement copy of the motion picture by libraries, archives, or museums
- For text and data mining by a researcher affiliated with a nonprofit institution of higher education, or by student or staff at the direction of such researcher, for the purpose of scholarly research and teaching

Literary Works:

- Literary works distributed electronically for text and data mining by a researcher affiliated with a nonprofit institution of higher education, or by student or staff at the direction of such researcher, for the purpose of scholarly research and teaching
- Literary works or previously published musical works that have been fixed in the form of text or notation whose technological protection measures interfere with assistive technologies
- Literary works consisting of compilations of data generated by medical devices or their personal corresponding monitoring systems, to access personal data

Computer Programs and Video Games:

- Computer programs that operate wireless devices, to allow connection to an alternative wireless network (“unlocking”)
- Computer programs that operate smartphones and portable all-purpose mobile computing devices to allow the device to interoperate with or to remove software applications (“jailbreaking”)
- Computer programs that operate smart televisions to allow the device to interoperate with software applications on the television for purposes other than gaining unauthorized access to copyrighted works (“jailbreaking”)
- Computer programs that operate voice assistant devices to allow the device to interoperate with or to remove software applications for purposes other than gaining unauthorized access to copyrighted works (“jailbreaking”)
- Computer programs that operate routers and dedicated network devices to allow the device to interoperate with software applications on the device for purposes other than gaining unauthorized access to copyrighted works (“jailbreaking”)
- Computer programs that control motorized land vehicles, marine vessels, or mechanized agricultural vehicles or vessels for purposes of diagnosis, repair, or modification of the vehicle, including to access diagnostic data
- Computer programs that control devices designed primarily for use by consumers for diagnosis, maintenance, or repair of the device or system
- Computer programs that control medical devices or systems, and related data files, for diagnosis, maintenance, or repair of the device or system
- Computer programs for purposes of good-faith security research
- Video games for which outside server support has been discontinued, to allow individual play by gamers and preservation of games by libraries, archives, and museums (as well as necessary jailbreaking of console computer code for preservation uses only), and discontinued video games that never required server support, for preservation by libraries, archives, and museums
- Computer programs other than video games, for the preservation of computer programs and computer program-dependent materials by libraries, archives, and museums
- Computer programs that operate 3D printers, to allow use of alternative material
- Computer programs for purpose of investigating potential infringement of free and open source computer programs
- Video games in the form of computer programs for purpose of allowing an individual with a physical disability to use alternative software or hardware input methods

ITEM C. EXPLANATION OF NEED FOR RENEWAL

Provide a brief explanation summarizing the continuing need and justification for renewing the exemption. The Office anticipates that petitioners will provide a paragraph or two detailing this information, but there is no page limit. While it is permissible to attach supporting documentary evidence as exhibits to this petition, it is not necessary. Below is a hypothetical example of the kind of explanation that the Office would regard as sufficient to support renewal of the unlocking exemption. The Office notes, however, that explanations can take many forms and may differ significantly based on the individual making the declaration and the exemption at issue.

I, Kendra Albert, submitter of record, represent the Software Preservation Network ("SPN") and work with the Library Copyright Alliance ("LCA"), two national organizations that preserve digital heritage by making out-of-commerce software accessible in support of research, teaching, and learning. SPN consists of archivists, librarians, scholars, technologists, and legal experts, committed to establishing and retaining access to software, which would become inaccessible without careful and conscientious stewardship. LCA consists of two major library associations (the American Library Association and the Association of Research Libraries) and was established in order to safeguard the interests of librarians and archivists in the realm of copyright law.

SPN and LCA have had intimate engagement with the §1201 process, with participation in the DMCA rulemaking dating back to 2016 and 2000 respectively. During the 2018 triennial rulemaking, SPN and LCA were granted an exemption regarding the preservation rights of libraries, archives, and museums, which included preservation for out-of-commerce software. In 2021, this rule was renewed and revised to permit off-premises access to preserved software under certain circumstances. I have personally been involved in the last three triennial rulemakings and have successfully advocated for exemptions and extensions for both SPN and LCA.

Since the exemption was granted and renewed, I have continued to be in touch with SPN and LCA members and I have personal knowledge of the rule's continuing utility for software preservation by libraries, archives, and museums, and no reason to believe the rule will no longer be needed in the future. Substantial numbers of computer programs were published with technological protection measures (TPMs) in place, and digital preservation is an ongoing, iterative process. Research interest in preserved software will only grow as scholars and students become increasingly aware of the research value of these materials. For example, Ethan Gates, Software Preservation Analyst at Yale University Library and User Support Lead on the Emulation as a Service Infrastructure program, has told me that remote access to digital collections is an increasingly explicit directive to fulfill cultural heritage institutions' missions to support research, analysis, and other scholarly re-use of the historical record (and to do so equitably and inclusively). Gates told me, "Options for secure, remote access are here and the academic and heritage communities are moving quickly past investigation to implementation, and that is a noticeable turn in confidence and service development even since the last exemption round in 2021." Indeed, members of SPN report providing an off-site researcher access to born-digital materials using remote access to legacy software. Accordingly, the rule permitting circumvention of TPMs encumbering out-of-commerce software for preservation by libraries, archives, and museums, including remote access, should be renewed.

ITEM C. EXPLANATION OF NEED FOR RENEWAL (*CONT'D*)

ITEM D. DECLARATION AND SIGNATURE

The declaration is a sworn statement made under penalty of perjury and must be signed by one of the petitioners named above.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the following is true and correct:

- 1. Based on my own personal knowledge and experience, I have a good faith belief that but for the above-selected exemption's continuation during the next triennial period (October 2024–October 2027), technological measures controlling access to relevant copyrighted works are likely to diminish the ability of relevant users to make noninfringing uses of these works, and such users are likely to rely upon the above-selected exemption during the next triennial period.**
- 2. To the best of my knowledge, there has not been any material change in the facts, law, or other circumstances set forth in the prior rulemaking record (available at copyright.gov/1201/2021) that originally demonstrated the need for the above-selected exemption, such that renewal of the exemption would not be justified.**
- 3. To the best of my knowledge, the explanation provided in Item C above is true and correct and supports the above statements.**

Name/Organization:

If the petitioner is an entity, this declaration must be signed by an individual at the organization having appropriate personal knowledge.

Kendra Albert

Signature:

This declaration may be signed electronically (e.g., "/s/ John Smith").

/s/ Kendra Albert

Date:

7/7/2023